THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 8 9 THOMAS RUSSELL BOARDMAN, CASE NO. C21-5428-JCC 10 Petitioner, MINUTE ORDER v. 11 JEFFREY A. UTTECHT, et al., 12 13 Respondents. 14 15 The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable John C. 16 Coughenour, United States District Judge: This matter comes before the Court on Petitioner's motion for reconsideration (Dkt. No. 17 18 23). Plaintiff objects to the Court's adoption of a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") (Dkt. 19 No. 15) dismissing his petition with prejudice and denying a certificate of appealability. (Dkt. 20 No. 15 at 7.) 21 Motions for reconsideration are disfavored. LCR 7(h)(1). The Court will ordinarily deny 22 such motions in the absence of a showing of manifest error in the prior ruling or a showing of 23 new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to its attention earlier with 24 reasonable diligence. Id. 25 Petitioner filed untimely objections to the R&R (Dkt. No. 18), which the Court 26 nevertheless reviewed *de novo*, finding the Honorable J. Richard Creatura, Chief United States

MINUTE ORDER C21-5428-JCC PAGE - 1

Case 3:21-cv-05428-JCC Document 24 Filed 02/14/22 Page 2 of 2

1	Magistrate Judge, properly concluded that Petitioner's petition is time-barred. (Dkt. No. 15 at 3–
2	4; see also 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d).) Plaintiff argues his petition he is entitled to equitable tolling
3	due to complications related to the COVID-19 pandemic. (See Dkt. No. 23.) But Judge Creatura
4	noted in his R&R that Petitioner had not proffered such an argument. (Dkt. No. 15 at 5.) As such
5	Petitioner's motion does not provide new facts or legal authority which could not have been
6	brought to the Court's attention earlier with reasonable diligence, nor does he allege manifest
7	error. (See Dkt. No. 23; see also LCR 7(h)(1).) The motion is thus without merit.
8	The Court hereby DENIES Petitioner's motion for reconsideration (Dkt. No. 23). As the
9	Court upholds its denial of a certificate of appealability, Petitioner's motion to extend the time to
10	file a motion of intent (Dkt. No. 22) is also DENIED.
11	DATED this 14th day of February 2022.
12	Ravi Subramanian Clerk of Court
13	s/Sandra Rawski Deputy Clerk
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

MINUTE ORDER C21-5428-JCC PAGE - 2